



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/624,284

07/21/2003

Burton L. Hart

27726-93386

7400

23644 7590 12/22/2010
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
P.O. Box 2786
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786

EXAMINER

ALEXANDER, REGINALD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3742

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/22/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent-ch@btlaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/624,284	Applicant(s) HART ET AL.	
	Examiner Reginald L. Alexander	Art Unit 3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steiner in view of Weidman et al.

There is disclosed in Steiner a server, for use with and separable from a brewer 10, for retaining and dispensing beverages, the server comprising: a housing 29; a reservoir 21 positioned in the housing for retaining a beverage; a heater 41 operatively associated with the server for transferring energy from the heater to a beverage retained in the reservoir, wherein the heater heats the air surrounding the reservoir which in turn provides a heating function to the reservoir; a controller 43 coupled to the heater for controlling operation of the heater; whereby the heater is operated before dispensing beverage to the reservoir (col. 2, lines 65-70, it states that the reservoir is at a temperature lower than coffee entering).

Weidman discloses in a server, a heater 70 carried in the server and attached to the outside bottom surface of a reservoir for transferring energy from the heater to the reservoir.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the heater arrangement of Steiner with the heater arrangement taught in Weidman, in order to provide an alternative mounting arrangement for the heater.

Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bailleux et al. in view of Weidman.

There is disclosed in Bailleux a beverage server, for use with and separable from a brewer 8, comprising: a server including a housing 5, a reservoir positioned in the housing; a heater 9 coupled with the reservoir for transferring energy thereto; means (heating plate 4) (controller 11) for controllably adding energy (pulsing the heater and transferring heat through the plate) to the reservoir to preheat the reservoir before beverage is deposited therein; and a beverage brewer 8.

Weidman discloses in a server, a heater 70 carried in the server and attached to the outside bottom surface of a reservoir for transferring energy from the heater to the reservoir.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the heater arrangement of Steiner with the heater arrangement taught in Weidman, in order to provide an alternative mounting arrangement for the heater.

Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weidman et al. in view of Bailleux et al.

Weidman, as discussed above, discloses all of the claimed subject matter, including a removable brewer 18, except means for controllably adding energy to the reservoir to preheat the reservoir.

Bailleux, as discussed above, discloses means for preheating a reservoir by controllably adding energy to a heater associated with the reservoir.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the apparatus of Weidman with the preheating means taught in Bailleux, in order to provide a heated environment for the acceptance of a brewed beverage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Reginald L. Alexander/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742